

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,307	08/22/2005	Karl-Heinz Banholzer	BANH3004/FJD	1943
23364 BACON & TH	7590 04/03/200 OMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ALLEN, ANDRE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2855	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/517,307	BANHOLZER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andre J. Allen	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 December</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	r election requirement.  r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of lotting 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/517,307

Art Unit: 2855

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frick et al (US 6295875).

Regarding claim 6 Frick et al teaches a metal housing 114, and a ceramic pressure sensor (col. 2 lines 20-25) held in said metal housing, wherein: the surfaces of said metal housing which come in contact with a medium (abstract), whose pressure is to be measured, during measurement, are provided with a coating of one enamel and a glassy material (col. 6 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 7 Frick et al teaches said housing is a flange (fig. 5) to be secured at a measuring location (fig. 5), said ceramic pressure sensor is

installed in said flange, and surfaces of said flange which come in contact with the medium are provided with said coating (col. 6 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 8 Frick et al teaches said housing has a process connection 38, and surfaces of said process connection 38 which come in contact with the medium at a measurement location are provided with said coating (col. 6 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 9 Frick et al teaches the pressure sensor is a differential pressure measuring cell 54; said housing has two side flanges (fig. 5), between which said ceramic pressure sensor is clamped, and surfaces of said side flanges which come in contact with the medium at the measurement location are provided with said coating (col. 6 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 10 Frick teaches said housing 114 comprises steel or stainless steel (col. 6 line 64).

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 12-22-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2855

In response to the applicants argument that the cited prior art does not disclose nor suggest surfaces of a metal housing coated with a coating of enamel or glassy material is not persuasive. That is, referring to the Frick et al patent, there is an explicit teaching of a housing 114 that includes cup like half wherein the halves include an insulating material (glass or enamel) that is fused to the metal housing (col. 6 lines 60-67). Therefore the arguments filed 12-22-06 are considered to be moot.

In response to the applicant's arguments that there is distinction between the process medium as claimed by the applicant and fluid within the Frick et al reference. Although the fluids/mediums used in both devices maybe different, the structural features in both the Frick et al reference and the applicants claim (a coated housing with enamel or glass) are both one in the same. Therefore a person in the filed of pressure sensor art would accomplish the same goal by insulating/protecting the housing from whatever hazardous environment that maybe encountered whether it be a fluid or medium. Furthermore, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex* 

# Conclusion

Page 5

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-

2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

André J. Allen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2855